

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,798	HIRAIWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Caridad M. Everhart	2891	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Caridad M. Everhart. (3) _____.

(2) Attorney Percy Square, Reg. No. 51084 (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 9-12.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Caridad Everhart

Examiner's signature, if required
PRIMARY EXAMINER
AV2891

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative indicated that claims 9-15 were not addressed. The examiner indicated that on page 5 of the last Office Action the limitations of these claims were addressed; however, the examiner had inadvertently omitted the claim numbers in the first line of the rejection on page 5. In addition, applicant's representative indicated that this had made it not possible for applicant's representative to proceed with understanding the Office Action, A supplemental action will be sent in order to clarify this. The Supplemental Action will restart the Time For Response.

CME
9-16-05